

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,050	05/03/2005	Satoshi Nomura	42479-8700	6319
21611 SNELL & WII	7590 01/22/200 LMER LLP (OC)	9	EXAMINER	
600 ANTON BOULEVARD			SIEFKE, SAMUEL P	
SUITE 1400 COSTA MESA, CA 92626			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. 10/510.050 NOMURA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

Applicant(s)

	SAIVI F. SIEFRE	1/9/				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extension of time may be available undoff the provisions of 37 CPR in 18 and 18	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a repty be tird d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this o ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·- ·-	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		-				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority document 						
Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	-	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receive	3 a.				
Attachment(s)						

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/4/06,10/4/04.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other:

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ebicsson "Clinical Investigations of the salivary buffering action." 1959.

Ebicsson discloses a method and device of evaluating the risk of caries having such a characteristic that the saliva is collected from the mouth of the subject (page 139), the chemical property of the saliva is measured using a chemical property measuring device (page 138 electrochemically), a given ratio of acid is added to the saliva (page 139), and the chemical property of saliva is measured again to evaluate the risk of possible caries based on the difference between the measured value of chemical property of saliva before adding acid and that after adding acid (baseline vs. measured saliva with acid).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/510.050

Art Unit: 1797

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 7-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ebicsson "Clinical Investigations of the salivary buffering action." 1959 in view of Maus et al. (USPN 6,602,469).

Ebicsson discloses a method and device of evaluating the risk of caries having such a characteristic that the saliva.

Ebicsson does not teach a measuring device having an output function for outputting the result of the evaluation of the risk of the possible caries, and a network for Art Unit: 1797

performing at least one of data inputs/outputs among the measuring device, the server, and the terminal. Maus teaches a health monitoring device configured as a selfcontained testing and diagnostic unit in a clam-shell type case. The meter also works in connection with a network-based comprehensive health analysis and reporting system. The meter writes patient data to a smartcard. This patient data typically includes patient identification information, the test results, the diagnostic information, and the diagnostic results. A computer station reads the smartcard and establishes a network connection with a health report server over the Internet. The computer then downloads the patient data to the health report server, which prepares a comprehensive health report. Within minutes, this report is transmitted back to the computer station, where it is printed out and delivered to the patient (abstract). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ebicsson to employ the test in a handheld device that is capable of connecting to a computer so that measured results can be relayed to a doctors office to diagnose specific problems. This type of computer base information transfer is well known in the art because it provides efficient and quick data transfer that is ideal for today's needs.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAM P. SIEFKE whose telephone number is (571)272-1262. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,050 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Samuel P Siefke/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797